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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The identity of the histidine specific transfer RNA (tRNA\textsuperscript{His}) is largely determined by a unique guanosine residue at position –1. In eukaryotes and archaena, the tRNA\textsuperscript{His} guanylyltransferase (Thg1) catalyzes 3′–5′ addition of G to the 5′-terminus of tRNA\textsuperscript{His}. Here, we show that Thg1 also occurs in bacteria. We demonstrate in vitro Thg1 activity for recombinant enzymes from the two bacteria Bacillus thuringiensis and Myxococcus xanthus and provide a closer investigation of several archaeal Thg1. The reaction mechanism of prokaryotic Thg1 differs from eukaryotic enzymes, as it does not require ATP. Complementation of a yeast thg1 knockout strain with bacterial Thg1 verified in vivo activity and suggests a relaxed recognition of the discriminator base in bacteria.

© 2010 Federation of European Biochemical Societies. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

During tRNA maturation, the 5′ leader sequence of precursor (pre)-tRNA is removed by the tRNA processing enzyme RNase P, which specifically cleaves at position 1 of almost all tRNAs [1,2]. In the case of tRNA\textsuperscript{His}, however, accurate translation of histidine codons relies on the presence of an additional guanosine nucleotide at the 5′ end of nearly all mature tRNA\textsuperscript{His} species [3,4]. Other rare cases of −1 residues in mature tRNAs include a A−1 residue in tRNA\textsuperscript{His} from Tetrahymena pyriformis as well as A−1 in tRNA\textsuperscript{Met} and a G−1 in tRNA\textsuperscript{Thr} from Nanoarchaeum equitans [5,6]. In Escherichia coli tRNA\textsuperscript{His}, the G−1 residue base pairs with the discriminator base at position C73, typically an unpaired base in most tRNAs, and is important for recognition by histidyl-tRNA synthetase (HisRS) and efficient formation of His-tRNA\textsuperscript{His} [7,8]. G−1 is a critical identity element in tRNA\textsuperscript{His} as its absence was shown to result in a greater than 100-fold reduction in catalytic efficiency of HisRS in both yeast and E. coli systems [8–11].

While the G−1 residue is genome encoded in many organisms, the standard cleavage site selection of RNase P would remove this essential residue [12]. In many bacteria, RNase P displays an altered cleavage pattern maintaining the genome encoded G−1 [3,13]. In eukaryotes and some archaea, however, the G−1 residue is either not genome encoded or removed during cleavage by an RNase P without the ability to cleave at the −1 position. Therefore, G−1 has to be added posttranscriptionally [14,15]. To accomplish this, a tRNA\textsuperscript{His} guanylyltransferase (Thg1) catalyzes the addition of a guanylate to the 5′ end of tRNA\textsuperscript{His} to guarantee functional mature tRNAs in these organisms [14,15]. Thg1 genes and proteins have been identified and studied in yeast [16–18] and more recently in archaea [19,20]. A deletion of thg1 in yeast was shown to be lethal, while a conditional depletion leads to the accumulation of tRNA\textsuperscript{His} lacking the G−1 residue [16]. Further investigation with Thg1 depleted yeast cells indicated that the absence of mature tRNA\textsuperscript{His} could be rescued by overexpression of both tRNA\textsuperscript{His}G−1 and HisRS, which then produces sufficient amounts of His-tRNA\textsuperscript{His}. This suggests that adding the G−1 residue to tRNA\textsuperscript{His} may be the only biological function of Thg1 [21].

Thg1 has been studied most intensively in yeast, where the unusual untemplated 3′–5′ polymerization reaction is carried out in an ATP dependent manner [17,18]. Here, the anticodon of tRNA\textsuperscript{His} was shown to be the major identity element [18] by which Thg1 differentiates substrate from non-substrate tRNAs. A significant role has also been assigned to the discriminator base of tRNA\textsuperscript{His} for both eukaryotic and archaeal Thg1 [18,19]. Yeast Thg1 requires an adenosine as discriminator base in order to restrict catalytic activity to the addition of only a single guanidine residue. In contrast, archaeal Thg1s have been shown previously to only complement a yeast Thg1 depleted strain when a tRNA\textsuperscript{His} featuring a C as discriminator base is present [19]. Archaeal homologs do not complement the yeast knockout strain when...
only endogenous yeast tRNA\textsubscript{His} with an A-discriminator base is present, thus demonstrating the requirement of a C-discriminator base for catalytic activity.

Here, we report that Thg1 activity is not restricted to eukaryotes and archaea, but is also found in bacteria. We provide the first characterization of the bacterial enzymes and demonstrate differences with the yeast enzyme involving cofactor dependence and substrate recognition.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. General

Oligonucleotide synthesis and DNA sequencing was performed by Integrated DNA Technologies and the DNA sequencing facility on Science Hill at Yale. [\textit{x-\textsuperscript{32}P}]GTP (3000 Ci/mmoll) and [\textit{x-\textsuperscript{32}P}]ATP (3000 Ci/mmoll) were purchased from GE Healthcare.

2.2. Preparation and purification of RNA transcripts

The \textit{E. coli}, \textit{Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus} and \textit{Pyrococcus abyssi} tRNA\textsubscript{His} genes and \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} tRNA\textsubscript{His} genes were cloned into a pUC19 vector that allowed for in vitro T7 RNA polymerase run-off transcription after plasmid cleavage with \textit{BstII}. Anticodon exchanges were introduced by QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The tRNAs were purified via 12% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of 8 M urea as described [15].

2.3. Preparation and purification of Thg1 enzyme

For the archaeal enzymes, the genes \textit{M. barkeri} (\textit{Methanosarcina barkeri}), \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} and \textit{P. aerophilum} tRNA\textsubscript{His} genes were amplified from genomic DNA and cloned into the \textit{NdeI}/Xhol sites of pet20b(+) vector to facilitate expression of the proteins in the \textit{E. coli} BL21-codon plus (DE3)-RII strain (Stratagene). Genes encoding \textit{Bacillus thuringiensis} Thg1 (RBTH_06728) and \textit{Myxococcus xanthus} Thg1 (MXAN_5968) were codon optimized for \textit{E. coli} codon usage and synthesized by GenScript Corporation (Piscataway, NJ). Genes without a stop codon were cloned between \textit{EcoRI} and \textit{SalI} restriction sites into pet20b vector (Novagen, Madison, WI) with a C-terminal Hi66 tag. Cultures were grown at 37 °C in Luria–Bertani medium supplemented with 100 μg/mL ampicillin and 34 μg/mL chloramphenicol and the recombinant proteins were produced by autoinduction as described [16]. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 1 × Thg1 buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.0), 200 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 5% glycerol and 3 mM DTT), and broken by sonication. The \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} and \textit{P. aerophilum} enzymes were flocculated at 80 °C for 30 min, and then centrifuged for 30 min at 20 000×g. Cell lysates were applied to Ni-NTA metal affinity resin and purified according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The eluted enzymes were dialyzed into 1 × Thg1 buffer. SDS–PAGE electrophoresis followed by staining with Coomassie blue revealed greater than 90% purity.

2.4. Thg1 activity assays

Activity of various Thg1 proteins was assayed monitoring the incorporation of [\textit{x-\textsuperscript{32}P}]GTP into tRNA\textsubscript{His} ΔG\textsubscript{−} of as described previously [16,22]. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C for \textit{M. barkeri} and bacterial enzymes, at 45 °C for \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} Thg1 and at 65 °C for \textit{P. aerophilum} Thg1. Bacterial and archaeal enzymes were assayed with \textit{P. aerophilum}, \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} or \textit{E. coli} tRNA\textsubscript{His} ΔG\textsubscript{−} and \textit{E. coli} tRNA\textsubscript{His}.

2.5. Construction of yeast expression plasmids

To express bacterial or archaeal Thg1 in yeast, plasmids were constructed by cloning the respective ORFs into the yeast centromeric plasmid (CEN) pRS416 expression vector [23], which carries the \textit{URA3} marker. This plasmid allows the expression of the ORF under the control of the alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH1) promoter. The ORFs of \textit{M. barkeri} and \textit{P. aerophilum} and the codon optimized sequence for \textit{B. thuringiensis} were amplified by PCR and the fragments were digested and cloned between \textit{EcoRI} and \textit{SalI} restriction sites in the pRS416 plasmid.

2.6. Saccharomyces cerevisiae complementation assays

A THG1-thg1Δ:KanMX4 heterozygous diploid (ATCC 4026977) was transformed using the lithium acetate method [24] with empty plasmid or pRS416 containing THG1, which expresses the THG1 ORF under control of the constitutive yeast ADH1 promoter. Transformants were selected on synthetic medium lacking uracil, and colonies arising were sporulated and dissected according to standard yeast genetic manipulations [25]. Tetrad were incubated at 30 °C for three days before imaging. The genotypes of the germinating spores were determined by replica plating onto plates containing 300 μg/mL G418 sulfate (Calbiochem).

2.7. Phylogenetic analysis

Sequences were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and from the Integrated Microbial Genomes database [26] from the Joint Genome Institute. Sequence alignment and alignment editing was carried out using Muscle [27] and the Multiseq alignment editor from VMD 1.8.7 [28]. A maximum likelihood phylogeny for Thg1 sequences was determined using Phyml [29]. The starting tree was generated with BioNJ, and the tree space was searched with the SPR followed by the NNI algorithm to find the best tree. The JTT+F model with four rate categories was applied. Likelihood parameters were initially estimated from the alignment, Shimodaira–Hasegawa boot strap values were computed as implemented in PHYML.

3. Results

3.1. Thg1 is present in all three domains of life

Thg1 activity has been described in eukaryotes [16] and archaea [19,20]. Bacteria were generally thought not to rely on the post-transcriptional addition of a G residue to tRNA\textsubscript{His}, as most bacteria retain a genome encoded G\textsubscript{−} residue of tRNA\textsubscript{His} due to an altered cleavage pattern of bacterial RNase P, making Thg1 activity redundant. Only few bacteria belonging to a small group of α-proteobacteria do not encode G\textsubscript{−} in their tDNA, but this is compensated by an alteration in HisRS, resulting in an enzyme that does not require a G\textsubscript{−} [30,31].

Candidate Thg1 genes were previously identified in bacterial genomes [19,20]. In order to investigate the relationship between the bacterial, archaeal and eukaryotic Thg1 variants, we performed a detailed phylogenetic analysis of Thg1 from all three domains of life (Fig. 1). Almost all eukaryotic Thg1 variants are represented in a major phylogenetic group, whereas a second clade contains archaeal, bacterial and few eukaryotic representatives. In eukaryotes and archaea, the distribution of Thg1 is mainly characterized by vertical inheritance, i.e., organismal groupings are essentially in accordance with accepted taxonomy.

In contrast, grouping of bacterial ORFs does not support Thg1 being present in an early bacterial ancestor. The bacterial Thg1s...
do not form a single phylogenetic group but rather cluster in a larger group containing mostly archaeal variants. The identified bacterial variants are distributed randomly into two major subgroups (Fig. 1, groups 1 and 2). Within each bacterial subgroup, members of the different phyla are found, but representatives of a single phylum are not restricted to one subgroup. For example, Thg1 variants from phylogenetically close organisms *Eubacterium rectale* (group 1) and *Clostridiales bacterium* (group 2) are found to have highly diverged Thg1s (Fig. 1). The sparse distribution of Thg1 among bacteria and the unusual phylogenetic pattern observed suggest that Thg1 occurrence in bacteria might be the result of at least two independent horizontal gene transfer events from archaea to bacteria, and further horizontal gene transfers within bacteria.

Thg1 candidates from *B. thuringiensis* (group 1) and *M. xanthus* (group 2) were cloned and produced to investigate whether Thg1s from either group display guanylyltransferase activity. A closer look at the genomes of these bacterial species that potentially contain Thg1s confirmed that they encode a G$^{-1}$ in the respective tRNA$^{His}$ gene. Therefore, Thg1 might not be required for posttranscriptional GMP addition in these organisms. This is also the case in *Methanosarcina* species, which have been shown to contain functional Thg1 [19,20]. In parallel, representatives from the respective archaeal groups, i.e., *P. aerophilum*, a crenarchaeotal variant and *M. thermautotrophicus* and *M. barkeri*, both euryarchaeal representatives, were analyzed.

We first tested the ability of the purified bacterial enzymes to add a G residue to tRNA$^{His}$G$^{-1}$ from *E. coli* in the presence of ATP. Radiolabeled [$\alpha$-32P]GTP, substrate tRNA and purified enzymes were incubated for 1 h and then separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Only reacted product yields a band of radiolabeled tRNA. Both the *B. thuringiensis* and the *M. xanthus* Thg1 efficiently add a GMP residue to this substrate tRNA (Fig. 2, panels labeled 60).

In order to investigate nucleotide specificity of bacterial Thg1, we further tested the ability of the enzymes to utilize [$\alpha$-32P]ATP as substrate. This reaction, however, did not yield any radiolabeled product, indicating that bacterial Thg1 incorporates GTP but not ATP.

Activity assays with archaeal Thg1s were carried out utilizing substrate tRNA$^{His}$G$^{-1}$ from *M. thermautotrophicus*, *P. aerophilum* and mature *E. coli* tRNA$^{His}$ species containing the G$^{-1}$ residue.
The 5′-end of substrate tRNA contains a 5′-GMP addition, binding of ATP activates tRNAHisDΔG1 and promotes an artificial elongation reaction (for PA-Thg1). [α-32P]GTP (A) is not a Thg1 substrate.

**Fig. 2.** Thg1 assay with [α-32P]GTP and tRNAHisDΔG1. Autoradiography of Thg1 reaction products separated on a 12% polyacrylamide gel with 8 M urea. Thg1 from various archaeal and bacterial origins add guanine nucleotides to the 5′end of p-trNAHisDΔG1. Escherichia coli tRNAHis transcripts lacking a −1 base were incubated with [α-32P]GTP and Thg1 from Methanosarcina barkeri (MB), Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus (MT), Pyrobaculum aerophilum (PA), Myxococcus xanthus (MX) and Bacillus thuringiensis (BT) for 1 min (1), 10 min (2) or 60 min (3, +A). A tRNAHis that contains already a G−1 (+) is either a very weak substrate (for MT and MB Thg1) or promotes an artificial elongation reaction (for PA-Thg1). [α-32P]ATP (A) is not a Thg1 substrate.

Table 1. 3′-GMP addition of various archaeal and bacterial Thg1 homologs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>PA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>+ A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>+ A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>+ A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.** ATP-dependence analysis of Thg1. ATP independence of the Thg1 reaction is shown for bacterial variants Myxococcus xanthus (MX) and Bacillus thuringiensis (BT) and archaeal variants Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus (MT) and Pyrobaculum aerophilum (PA). Escherichia coli tRNAHisDΔG1 was employed as substrate for bacterial enzymes and M. thermautotrophicus or P. aerophilum tRNAHisDΔG1 as substrate for archaeal enzymes. The reaction was carried out in the presence (−) and absence (+) of an Apyrase. G−1 transfer for the archaeal enzymes was restored when the reaction mix was heated to 80 °C to denature Apyrase before tRNA and [α-32P]GTP were added. Following treatment with Apyrase, bacterial enzymes were subjected to an additional purification step, which restored G−1 addition. A double band is observed due to partial tRNA degradation resulting from necessary extended incubation time.

[α-32P]GTP. This heat inactivation restored Thg1 activity (Fig. 3, panels labeled ‘+’). Since the bacterial enzymes do not feature this kind of heat stability, an additional His-tag purification step was employed to remove Apyrase. The purified Thg1 was then incubated with [α-32P]GTP and substrate tRNAHisDΔG1. As shown in Fig. 3, all assayed enzymes displayed activity solely dependent on GTP and not ATP. Thus, the bacterial and archaeal Thg1 variants differ from the eukaryotic enzymes in their reaction mechanism and do not require ATP for catalytic activity.

**3.2. Prokaryotic Thg1 catalyze ATP-independent guanylylation**

_Saccharomyces cerevisiae_ Thg1 transfers G onto the 5′-end of mature tRNAHisDΔG1 in an ATP-dependent manner [17]. Here, prior to GMP addition, binding of ATP activates tRNAHisDΔG1, which is then replaced by the guanylate. ATP activation is required only when the substrate tRNA contains a 5′monophosphate, but not when it contains a 5′triphosphate [17]. We probed archaeal and bacterial Thg1 homologs in the absence of ATP, in order to investigate whether this ATP dependence is a shared feature with the yeast enzyme. Interestingly, the prokaryotic Thg1 reaction mechanism differs from the one described for the yeast enzyme. We demonstrated that G−1 addition to tRNAHisDΔG1 is ATP independent. No difference between [α-32P]GTP labeling of tRNA was seen with or without ATP even when the tRNA transcription mix included an excess of GMP to ensure a 5′monophosphate start of the transcription product (Fig. 3). Furthermore, in vitro transcribed substrate tRNAs were treated with tobacco acid pyrophosphatase (Sigma, Aldrich, St Louis, MO), which specifically removes triphosphates to yield a monophosphate at the 5′end of substrate tRNA.

As this assay still cannot exclude ATP contamination resulting from protein purification, we treated purified Thg1 homologs with Apyrase that catalyses the hydrolysis of ATP and GTP. No activity in the presence of Apyrase was observed (Fig. 3, panels labeled ‘+’). For the archaeal enzymes, we took advantage of the thermostability of _M. thermautotrophicus_ Thg1 and _P. aerophilum_ Thg1 to heat-inactivate Apyrase at 80 °C for 20 min just before the addition of ATP-independent guanylylation activity of Thg1 on tRNAHisPhe was assayed. tRNAHisPhe was either a very weak substrate (M. xanthus Thg1) or did not promote GMP addition to tRNAHisPhe by Thg1 (M. thermautotrophicus Thg1) (Fig. 4, panels 4). Weak guanylylation of tRNAHisPhe by M. xanthus Thg1 was observed in vitro; this may be due to the heterologous substrate tRNAHisPhe from _M. thermautotrophicus_. Transplantation of the tRNAHisPhe anticodon GAA to tRNAHis resulted in the loss of guanylylation activity (Fig. 4, lanes 2), whereas the mutation of the tRNAHisPhe anticodon to the His-anticodon GUG converted tRNAHis into a valid substrate for Thg1 (Fig. 4, panels 3). From these results we conclude that the tested bacterial and archaeal Thg1 homologs recognize the anticodon of tRNAHis and are thus able to restrict their activity to their substrate tRNA and prevent mis-guanylylation of other tRNAs.
tRNAHis genes usually contain a C in this position. This indicates Thuringiensis Thg1 is active in vivo, but that it also accepts an adenine as a substrate for Thg1. Unaltered tRNAHis is not a substrate for Thg1 (4). A double band is observed due to partial tRNA degradation resulting from necessary extended incubation time for M. xanthus.

3.4. Untemplated and templated 3′–5′ polymerase activity of archaeal and bacterial Thg1

In order to investigate the role of the discriminator base for bacterial Thg1, we employed in vitro and in vivo activity assays. We investigated activity of bacterial Thg1 in vitro with the E. coli tRNA^His^−1 substrate containing a C-discriminator base and could show that bacterial Thg1 does not require a specific discriminator base as B. thuringiensis Thg1 displays activity with the E. coli tRNA^His^−1 substrate (Fig. 2, panel BT, 60). Furthermore, in vivo complementation of a Thg1-deficient yeast strain was performed, by expressing B. thuringiensis Thg1 in a yeast thg1 knockout strain (Fig. 5). Yeast endogenous tRNA^His^ features an A-discriminator base, which was not a substrate for archaeal Thg1 variants [19]. Expression of bacterial Thg1 in the knockout strain restored growth to near wild type levels. The data reveal not only that B. thuringiensis Thg1 is active in vivo, but that it also accepts an adenine at the discriminator base position, even though bacterial tRNA^His^ genes usually contain a C in this position. This indicates a less stringent recognition of the discriminator base compared to both archaeal and yeast enzymes, which both have strict preferences at this position [19,20].

4. Discussion

4.1. Thg1 activity is present in all three domains of life

Accurate translation is dependent on the stringent recognition of tRNAs by their cognate aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. In the case of tRNA^His^, nearly all organisms require a unique G−1 residue to accomplish this. This residue is added posttranscriptionally by Thg1, an essential tRNA processing enzyme in eukaryotes, which encode at least one, if not several copies in their genomes. For example, the plants Arabidopsis thaliana and Orzya sativa contain several copies of tandem Thg1 genes and the slime mold Dictyostelium discoideum contains four Thg1 homologs, some of which are phylogenetically more closely related to the prokaryotic Thg1 than the eukaryotic Thg1 variants (Fig. 1).

In contrast, most bacteria utilize an alternate way to ensure the presence of the G−1 residue. These organisms contain a genome encoded G−1 residue and display an alternate RNase P cleavage pattern for tRNA^His^, to spare the G−1 from removal. A few α-proteobacteria contain a HisRS with unique peptide insertion and do not require the G−1 residue. Since the so far identified Thg1 encoding bacteria also encode the G−1 residue for tRNA^His^, a biological role for Thg1 in these organisms is not obvious. Nevertheless, Thg1 homologs have also been found and proven to be enzymatically active in G−1 encoding archaea, without the apparent necessity for the function of the eukaryotic variant [19,20].

In this study, we showed that tRNA^His^ guanylation activity is present in all three kingdoms of life, including enzymatically active examples from bacteria. Open reading frames encoding Thg1 are found in a variety of bacteria and are not restricted to particular phyla, but only very few of the currently sequenced bacterial genomes contain a potential tRNA^His^ guanylyltransferase.

Interestingly, a few bacterial Thg1 genes appear to be split, opening the possibility of the presence of split Thg1 variants that function in trans in vivo. Thg1 has been shown to function as two halves in vitro as described for M. acetivorans Thg1 [20]. Three examples of potentially split Thg1 genes can be found in bacteria. In Cyanthece sp. and Coprococcus eutactus, Thg1 split genes have been annotated to code for two proteins due to a frameshift in the ORF, resulting in two almost equal halves, whereas in Verrucomicrobium spinosum the ORF for Thg1 is disrupted by an ORF for a transposase (ZP_02926794). All three examples could result in the expression of two proteins corresponding to N- and C-terminal halves of Thg1, which could lead to potentially functional Thg1s in vivo. Whether these organisms require or have Thg1 activity in vivo remains to be elucidated.

5. Prokaryotic Thg1 differs from eukaryotic Thg1

Bacterial and archaeal Thg1 proteins share certain features with eukaryotic Thg1, such as the recognition of the anticodon and the
addition of GMP but not AMP to their substrate tRNA. Nevertheless, the prokaryotic Thg1 is different from their eukaryotic counterparts by not requiring an ATP cofactor for the activation of substrate tRNAHis 5′-GAG 3′ prior to GMP addition. Yeast Thg1 has been shown to rely on ATP for tRNA activation and does not catalyze GMP addition without activation of the tRNA by ATP. However, this feature is not shared by the prokaryotic variants, which display Thg1 activity in the absence of ATP. Possibly, prokaryotic Thg1 may utilize GTP to activate monophosphorylated tRNAHis 5′-GAG 3′. This observation suggests that both ATP and GTP could be effective for tRNA activation in vivo.

While archaeal and eukaryotic Thg1 display a strong preference for either a C- or A-discriminator base, and show altered or abolished activity with a different base in this position, bacterial Thg1 activity does not rely on a specific base in this position and accepts both A and C, indicating that the discriminator base is not a significant recognition element. Archaeal Thg1 catalytic activity strictly relies on the base-pairing of the G-1 residue with a C-discriminator base [19]. Bacterial Thg1, however, accepts the yeast endogenous substrate tRNAHis 5′-GAG 3′ containing an A in this position, suggesting that a templated reaction mechanism including Watson/Crick base pairing as described for archaeal Thg1 might not be essential for bacterial Thg1 catalytic activity. This is surprising, as bacterial Thg1 variants are phylogenetically closely related to the archaeal homologs and share other features such as ATP independence of reaction with archaeal Thg1.

Yeast Thg1 does not require a certain discriminator base in order to display catalytic activity, since it is capable of adding GMP residues to both A73 and C73 containing tRNAs. The presence of an A73 is absolutely essential in order to restrict the enzymes to the addition of a single GMP residue and prevent it from adding multiple GMPs [17]. Bacterial Thg1 shows no capability for compensating RNase P cleavage at base 1 or if the evolutionary advance of archaeal Thg1 is dependent on a specific base in this position, bacterial Thg1 variants are phylogenetically closely related to the archaeal homologs and share other features such as ATP independence of reaction with archaeal Thg1.
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