SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

OPEN

Received: 13 June 2019 Accepted: 13 August 2019 Published online: 27 August 2019

Proteasome subunit α 1 overexpression preferentially drives canonical proteasome biogenesis and enhances stress tolerance in yeast

Lauren A. Howell, Anna K. Peterson & Robert J. Tomko Jr.

The 26S proteasome conducts the majority of regulated protein catabolism in eukaryotes. At the heart of the proteasome is the barrel-shaped 20S core particle (CP), which contains two β -rings sandwiched between two α -rings. Whereas canonical CPs contain α -rings with seven subunits arranged α 1- α 7, a non-canonical CP in which a second copy of the α 4 subunit replaces the α 3 subunit occurs in both yeast and humans. The mechanisms that control canonical *versus* non-canonical CP biogenesis remain poorly understood. Here, we have repurposed a split-protein reporter to identify genes that can enhance canonical proteasome assembly in mutant yeast producing non-canonical α 4- α 4 CPs. We identified the proteasome subunit α 1 as an enhancer of α 3 incorporation, and find that elevating α 1 protein levels preferentially drives canonical CP assembly under conditions that normally favor α 4- α 4 CP formation. Further, we demonstrate that α 1 is stoichiometrically limiting for α -ring assembly, and that enhancing α 1 levels is sufficient to increase proteasome abundance and enhance stress tolerance in yeast. Together, our data indicate that the abundance of α 1 exerts multiple impacts on proteasome assembly and composition, and we propose that the limited α 1 levels observed in yeast may prime cells for alternative proteasome assembly following environmental stimuli.

The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) is the primary mechanism for regulated protein catabolism in eukaryotes^{1,2}. UPS substrates are typically first modified with a chain of the small protein ubiquitin, which targets substrates for degradation by the 26S proteasome. The 26S proteasome is a large, multisubunit ATP-dependent peptidase complex composed of a barrel-shaped 20S core particle (CP) that can be capped on one or both ends by the 19S regulatory particle (RP) (Fig. 1a). The RP mediates the deubiquitination and unfolding of substrates, and translocates them into the CP. The CP then cleaves substrates into short peptides. The canonical CP complex consists of four axially stacked heteroheptameric rings: two inner β -rings sandwiched between two outer α -rings. In the canonical CP, the α -ring is composed of seven α -subunits (α 1- α 7, Fig. 1b), whereas the β -ring is comprised of seven β -subunits (β 1- β 7). The β -rings house the peptidase activities, which are encoded by the β 1, β 2, and β 5 subunits.

In addition to the canonical CP, several alternative CP species with distinct subunit compositions can be formed via substitution of canonical CP subunits with alternative isoforms. In mammals, four alternative β -subunits have been identified: β_{1i} , β_{2i} , β_{5i} , and β_{5t} . These paralogs can substitute for their respective canonical β -subunits within the CP to form two distinct proteasome isoforms known as the immunoproteasome^{3,4} and thymoproteasome^{5,6}. The immunoproteasome contains β_{1i} , β_{2i} , and β_{5i} in place of β_{1} , β_{2} , and β_{5} , and is constitutively expressed in immune cells. Assembly of the immunoproteasome is induced in other cell types upon stimulation with interferon γ . The thymoproteasome contains β_{1i} , β_{2i} , and β_{5t} , and is expressed exclusively in cortical thymic epithelial cells. The immunoproteasome and thymoproteasome display altered proteolytic activities with respect to canonical CPs. These altered activities enhance peptide generation for antigen presentation by major histocompatibility complex class I molecules and for positive selection of CD8 + T cells, respectively⁷.

Department of Biomedical Sciences, Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee, Florida, 32306, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.J.T. (email: robert.tomko@med.fsu.edu)





An alternative α -subunit, α 4s, has been identified in the testes of many organisms and is most abundant in spermatids and mature sperm⁸⁻¹⁰. Substitution of this paralog for the canonical α 4 subunit results in the formation of the spermatoproteasome. Although the exact role of the spermatoproteasome remains unclear, it is essential for fertility¹¹ and is thought to mediate the degradation of histones and other sperm-specific substrates that are essential for efficient spermatogenesis¹⁰.

In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, all CP genes are essential for viability with the exception of the gene encoding the α 3 subunit¹². Yeast lacking α 3 synthesize a non-canonical CP, referred to as the α 4- α 4 CP, in which a second copy of the canonical α 4 subunit is incorporated into the position normally occupied by the absent α 3 subunit¹³. Such α 4- α 4 CPs also occur in human cells¹⁴. Notably, overexpression of the oncogenic kinases ABL or ARG is associated with increased abundance of α 4- α 4 CPs, whereas the tumor suppressor BRCA1 negatively regulates α 4- α 4 CP formation¹⁴. These observations suggest that α 4- α 4 CPs play a role in

carcinogenesis or maintenance of the tumor phenotype. Indeed, alterations in α 3 and α 4 levels suggestive of α 4- α 4 CP formation (*i.e.* reduced α 3, increased α 4) have been identified in some cancer types¹⁴, and the assembly of α 4- α 4 CPs confers resistance to heavy metal stress in both yeast and humans^{14,15}.

In yeast and humans, the abundance of α 4- α 4 CPs is primarily controlled by a pair of evolutionarily conserved proteasome assembly chaperones, Pba3 and Pba4 (PAC3 and PAC4 in humans)¹⁴⁻¹⁹. These chaperones form a heterodimer (Pba3-4) that promotes α 3 incorporation over a second copy of α 4 to yield canonical CPs. Under conditions commonly associated with the tumor phenotype, such as increased proteasome biogenesis²⁰⁻²² and oxidative stress²³, Pba3-4 becomes limiting for proteasome assembly, resulting in enhanced formation of α 4- α 4 CPs¹⁴. In yeast and humans, deletion of *PBA3* or *PBA4* results in formation of both canonical and non-canonical CPs. However, it is unknown what governs whether α 3 or α 4 incorporates into the α 3 position during such limiting chaperone activity.

Despite the emerging importance of the non-canonical α 4- α 4 CP, a comprehensive analysis of genes influencing assembly of canonical *versus* non-canonical CPs has not yet been performed. This is due in part to a lack of suitable methods to discriminate the *in vivo* subunit composition of the proteasome in a high-throughput format. Thus far, experimental detection of α 4- α 4 CP assembly has relied on biochemical analyses of purified proteasomes or engineered disulfide crosslinking of α -subunits¹³⁻¹⁵ in cell extracts visualized by SDS-PAGE, neither of which are amenable to high-throughput analyses or genetic screening.

In this study, we have repurposed a split-dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) reporter to perform a genome-wide screen for genes that enhance canonical CP assembly in $pba3\Delta$ yeast. We identified the proteasome subunit $\alpha 1$ as a preferential enhancer of canonical CP assembly and demonstrate that the relative abundance of $\alpha 1$ governs the ratio of canonical to non-canonical CPs when Pba3-4 activity is limiting. Further investigation revealed that the abundance of $\alpha 1$ regulates the steady-state level of 26S proteasomes and stress tolerance in yeast. Integration of these findings with previous studies suggests that incorporation of $\alpha 1$ into the assembling α -ring prior to a second copy of $\alpha 4$ confers commitment to canonical CP biogenesis.

Results

Split-DHFR complementation can report on canonical and non-canonical proteasome subunit arrangements *in vivo*. To date, no systematic *in vivo* analysis of genes influencing CP composition has been conducted. Toward this goal, we repurposed a protein complementation assay based on the reconstitution of split-DHFR²⁴⁻²⁶ to establish a growth-based reporter to monitor the juxtaposition of particular pairings of proteasome subunits (exemplified in Fig. 1c). This survival-selection assay employs a mutant split-DHFR reporter harboring mutations that confer resistance to the DHFR inhibitor methotrexate (MTX). MTX potently inhibits the endogenous yeast dihydrofolate reductase, Dfr1, leading to growth inhibition. The N- and C-terminal fragments of the mutant split-DHFR reporter (hereafter referred to as [DH] and [FR], respectively) are fused to two query proteins. An interaction between the two query proteins reconstitutes the mutant DHFR and confers growth on media containing MTX. We hypothesized that fusion of these DHFR fragments to pairs of α -subunits would report on their juxtaposition primarily within fully assembled CPs, which greatly outnumber proteasomal assembly intermediates in rapidly growing cells^{13,15,17}.

The C-terminus of each α -subunit contains a conserved α -helix that is solvent exposed and points outward from the CP (Supplementary Fig. S1a,b). These C-terminal α -helices are clearly resolved in both atomic and cryo-electron microscopy structures, indicating they are largely immobile. We engineered yeast strains expressing α -subunits with C-terminal [DH] or [FR] fusions from their native chromosomal loci. The [DH] and [FR] fragments were connected to their cognate α -subunits via a flexible linker sequence. The length of this linker provides sufficient reach to allow DHFR reconstitution between adjacent α -subunits within the CP (~42–62 Å between α -subunit C-terminal carboxylate carbons, Supplementary Fig. S1a). However, the linker is not long enough to permit complementation between non-adjacent α -subunits (~129–158 Å between C-terminal carboxylate carbons, Supplementary Fig. S1b).

We first confirmed that the α -subunit DHFR fusion proteins were well tolerated. Native PAGE analysis of extracts from yeast harboring the $\alpha 2$ [DH], $\alpha 3$ [FR], or $\alpha 5$ [FR] alleles either alone or in pairs ($\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR], $\alpha 2$ [DH] - $\alpha 5$ [FR]) revealed no obvious changes in the abundance of doubly (RP₂CP) or singly (RP₁CP) capped proteasomes compared to wild-type (WT) cells (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. S1c), suggesting they do not impair proteasome assembly. We next tested whether split-DHFR alleles impacted proteasome subcellular localization. We introduced the $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR] pair into yeast strains expressing GFP fusions to the CP subunit $\alpha 4^{27}$ or the RP base subunit Rpn1 from their respective chromosomal loci, and visualized proteasome localization by fluorescence microscopy. No gross changes in subcellular localization of $\alpha 4$ -GFP or Rpn1-GFP were observed when the $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR] subunit pair was present (Fig. 1e). Together, these observations suggest that α -subunit DHFR fusions are well tolerated.

We next examined the growth of these yeast strains in the presence and absence of MTX. No growth defects were evident in yeast expressing any of the DHFR fusions at 30 °C on complete media lacking MTX (Fig. 1f, left panel). In the presence of MTX, the $\alpha 2$ - $\alpha 3$ [DHFR] yeast grew readily (Fig. 1f, right panel). Similar results were obtained when the [DH] and [FR] fragments were swapped between the respective α -subunits (Supplementary Fig. S1d). In contrast, no growth was observed for WT cells or cells expressing the $\alpha 2$ [DH], $\alpha 5$ [DH], or $\alpha 3$ [FR] fusions, indicating complementation was dependent on the presence of both fragments. Importantly, cells expressing the $\alpha 5$ [DH] - $\alpha 3$ [FR] pair also failed to grow, despite the $\alpha 5$ [DH] and $\alpha 3$ [FR] fusions conferring growth on MTX when fused to adjacent subunit pairs (Supplementary Fig. S1e and Fig. 1g). Together, these data confirm that only directly adjacent α -subunit DHFR fusions reconstitute DHFR activity, consistent with our modeling.



Figure 2. A genome-wide screen identifies proteasome subunit $\alpha 1$ as an enhancer of $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ juxtaposition in $pba3\Delta$ cells. (a) Equal numbers of cells from the indicated yeast strains were spotted in six-fold serial dilutions on synthetic complete plates lacking or containing MTX and incubated for three days at 30 °C. (b) Equal numbers of WT, $pba3\Delta$, or $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR] $pba3\Delta$ cells were transformed with empty vector (EV) or with high-copy plasmids encoding $\alpha 1$ or *PBA3*. Transformants were spotted in six-fold serial dilutions onto synthetic complete plates lacking or containing MTX and incubated for three days at 30 °C. (c) Equal numbers of WT or $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR] $pba3\Delta$ cells expressing the indicated proteins from high-copy plasmids were spotted in six-fold serial dilutions onto synthetic complete plates lacking or containing MTX and incubated for three days at 30 °C. (c) Equal numbers of WT or $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR] $pba3\Delta$ cells expressing the indicated proteins from high-copy plasmids were spotted in six-fold serial dilutions onto synthetic complete plates lacking or containing MTX and incubated for three days at 30 °C. (d) Equal numbers of cells from the indicated yeast strains expressing empty vector (EV) or $\alpha 1$ from a high-copy plasmid were spotted in six-fold serial dilutions on the indicated media and incubated as shown for three days.

.....

We next sought to determine if this approach could be used to report on changes to the canonical α -subunit arrangement. In yeast, deletion of the $\alpha 3$ gene or the gene encoding the proteasomal assembly chaperone *PBA3* promotes formation of $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ CPs at 100% and ~50% frequency, respectively^{13,15}. We generated an $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ [*DHFR*] reporter strain by introducing centromeric plasmids encoding $\alpha 4$ [*DH*] and $\alpha 4$ [*FR*] into an $\alpha 4 \Delta 4$ strain by plasmid shuffle²⁸. As anticipated, the $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ [*DHFR*] reporter strain harboring WT copies of $\alpha 3$ and *PBA3* showed minimal growth on media containing MTX (Fig. 1h). In contrast, the reporter strain grew readily when either $\alpha 3$ or *PBA3* were deleted. Importantly, $\alpha 3 \Delta$ cells grew better than *pba3* Δ cells, in agreement with the known abundances of $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ CPs in these mutants. Taken together, these data demonstrate that split-DHFR complementation can report on the relative abundances of both canonical and non-canonical CP subunit arrangements *in vivo*.

A genome-wide screen in pba3 Δ yeast identifies the proteasome subunit α 1 as an enhancer of α 2- α 3 juxtaposition. We utilized *pba3* Δ cells as a model of chaperone limitation in an effort to identify novel regulators of CP subunit composition. Because these cells form ~50% canonical and ~50% non-canonical CPs, it should be possible to search for genes that bias toward either canonical or non-canonical CP assembly. We initially attempted to use the α 4- α 4 [DHFR] reporter strain to search for genes that promoted enhanced formation of α 4- α 4 CPs. However, leaky growth of this reporter strain on MTX (Fig. 1h) hampered this approach. We instead decided to query for genes that enhanced canonical CP assembly in *pba3* Δ cells using the α 2- α 3 [DHFR] reporter pair. As expected, deletion of *PBA3* resulted in poor growth on media containing MTX due to reduced incorporation of α 3 into the CP. This effect was specific for *PBA3*, as deletion of genes encoding the unrelated CP assembly chaperone *PBA1* or the RP assembly chaperone *NAS2* had no effect on growth on MTX (Fig. 2a).

We next introduced the yeast genomic tiling library²⁹ at ~12-fold depth of coverage into the $\alpha 2$ - $\alpha 3$ [DHFR] pba3 Δ reporter strain. This high-copy library consists of an ordered array of plasmids containing yeast genomic DNA fragments that together cover ~97% of the *S. cerevisiae* genome. We then plated transformants on media containing MTX to identify clones whose genomic fragments promoted enhanced juxtaposition of $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$, as gauged by an increase in growth on MTX. Surprisingly, only a single plasmid was found to confer enhanced growth on MTX, with the exception of the positive control plasmid encoding *PBA3*. Sequencing and subcloning of individual genes encoded by the recovered plasmid identified the proteasome subunit $\alpha 1$ as the gene enhancing canonical incorporation of $\alpha 3$ adjacent to $\alpha 2$ (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. S2a). Overexpression of any of



Figure 3. Overexpression of α 1 alters the composition of the CP in *pba3* Δ cells. (a) Cell extracts of WT or *pba3* Δ yeast expressing empty vector (EV) or α 1 from a high-copy plasmid were separated by non-denaturing PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies against the α 4 subunit (left) and the RP base subunit Rpt1 (right). The positions of doubly-capped CP (RP₂CP), singly-capped CP (RP₁CP), RP, and the RP base are shown. Shown to the right is quantification of α 4 levels in fully assembled proteasomes (RP₂CP) normalized to the corresponding RP₂CP band in the Rpt1 blot (n = 8; error bars = s.e.m.; ns = not significant, p = 0.9932). **, CP assembly intermediates. Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8. (b) Workflow of SILAC analysis with resulting peptide ion intensity ratios of CP subunits. Cells lacking *PBA3* and harboring the indicated high-copy plasmids were metabolically labeled as described in Materials and Methods and lysed. Equal amounts of protein were mixed before immunoaffinity purification of the CP for LC-MS/MS analysis. The average peptide ion intensity ratios (α 1: empty vector) for each CP subunit are shown, with the α 4 subunit highlighted in red. Error bars indicate the s.d. of the intensity ratios of the CP subunits. EV, empty vector. Full-length gel is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8.

the remaining six α -subunits, the RP base subunit Rpt5, or the RP lid subunit Rpn12 failed to confer any appreciable growth on MTX (Fig. 2c), indicating the effect was highly specific to α 1. We confirmed via immunoblotting that these subunits were overproduced (we did not verify overexpression of α 5, as it is not recognized by the 20S antibody used), indicating that the lack of MTX growth observed in Fig. 2c was not due to failed expression (Supplementary Fig. S2b–d). Although α 3 overexpression would be expected to enhance α 3 incorporation by mass action, it would compete for insertion with the α 3 [*FR*] fusion, explaining why it was not identified in our screen. Similarly, overexpression of α 2 overproduction.

Deletion of the genes encoding Pba3 or its heterodimeric binding partner Pba4 confers sensitivity to elevated temperatures and the amino acid analog *L*-canavanine due to the resultant imbalance of canonical and non-canonical CP biogenesis¹⁵. Interestingly, we found that overproduction of $\alpha 1$ in *pba3* Δ and *pba4* Δ yeast partially rescued the temperature and *L*-canavanine sensitivity in both mutants (Fig. 2d). This effect was not observed upon overproduction of a different α -subunit, $\alpha 7$ (Supplementary Fig. S2e). Together with the data from our screen, these findings are consistent with the possibility that $\alpha 1$ overproduction enhances canonical CP levels when chaperone activity is limiting.

The stoichiometry of $\alpha 1$ governs the ratio of canonical to non-canonical CPs in pba3 Δ cells.

We next sought to directly examine the effect of $\alpha 1$ overproduction on the assembly of canonical *versus* non-canonical CPs. We first tested whether $\alpha 1$ overproduction enhances formation of canonical CPs in *pba3* Δ cells, as suggested by our screen. If this is the case, 26S proteasomes from *pba3* Δ cells would be expected to contain less $\alpha 4$ on average when $\alpha 1$ was overproduced. In native PAGE-separated extracts from WT cells, no difference in the abundance of $\alpha 4$ was observed when $\alpha 1$ was overproduced (Fig. 3a). Consistent with previous findings^{14,15}, the abundance of $\alpha 4$ was increased substantially in extracts of *pba3* Δ cells expressing empty vector, compared to WT expressing empty vector. Importantly, upon overproduction of $\alpha 1$, the abundance of $\alpha 4$ was significantly reduced, suggesting that $\alpha 1$ overproduction enhances the formation of canonical CPs compared to non-canonical CPs.

In agreement with our observations in Fig. 3a, we observed a similar decrease in α 4 levels in CPs purified from cells overproducing α 1 using stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC)-coupled mass spectrometry. We first introduced empty vector or a high-copy plasmid encoding α 1 into *pba3* Δ yeast expressing β 5-3xFLAG from the chromosomal locus as a purification handle. This strain did not exhibit any overt growth defects under standard growth conditions (Supplementary Fig. S3). Transformants were then cultured in media containing either light or heavy lysine to label cellular proteins. Extracts of each strain were then prepared and mixed together in equal amounts. We next purified the CP from the combined extracts via FLAG affinity, eluted the CP with excess 3xFLAG peptide, and separated the purified product via native PAGE. We excised the band corresponding to the CP, which was subsequently digested with trypsin and analyzed via liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Changes in CP subunit composition were thereby evident as a deviation in the relative heavy-to-light peptide ion intensity ratio from the average ratio for all subunits. We observed a small increase in abundance of all CP subunits upon α 1 overexpression compared to empty vector, with the sole exception of the α 4 subunit (Fig. 3b). The abundance of α 4 present in CPs from α 1-overproducing cells was approximately 0.6 that of empty vector, indicating that α 4 was approximately two-fold less abundant in CPs from α 1-overproducing cells.

We next utilized engineered disulfide crosslinking to test whether the decrease in $\alpha 4$ was due to reduced incorporation of a second copy of $\alpha 4$. Copper-induced disulfide crosslinking between two engineered cysteines introduced at the $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ or $\alpha 2$ - $\alpha 3$ interface has been used successfully in the past to demonstrate the formation of canonical and non-canonical CPs, respectively^{13–15}. Following this approach, we introduced N79C and I155C substitutions alone or together into the coding sequence of $\alpha 4$ in WT or *pba3* Δ yeast to allow for relative quantification of $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ juxtaposition by crosslinking, which was evident as a DTT-sensitive high molecular weight species upon non-reducing SDS-PAGE (Fig. 4a). As observed previously^{13,15}, crosslinking was dependent upon the presence of both engineered cysteines (Fig. 4a, lanes 1, 2, and 3). Consistent with previous reports^{14,15}, analysis of non-reduced extracts of *pba3* Δ cells expressing empty vector demonstrated a modest increase in crosslinked $\alpha 4$ upon oxidation with Cu²⁺ compared to WT expressing empty vector (Fig. 4a, lane 5 vs. lane 4). To our surprise, however, only a minimal reduction in $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ crosslinking was observed upon overproduction of $\alpha 1$ in *pba3* Δ cells (Fig. 4a, lane 6 vs. lane 5). Consistent with this, the growth of the $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ [*DHFR*] *pba3* Δ reporter strain on MTX was unaffected by $\alpha 1$ overproduction (Fig. 4b). Although these observations do not completely rule out the possibility that $\alpha 1$ overexpression suppresses $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ proteasome assembly, they suggest that dilution of $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ proteasomes resulting from elevated canonical CP biogenesis is the more dominant mechanism.

We tested this hypothesis directly using a similar approach in which α 2-K160C and α 3-L56C substitutions permit disulfide crosslinking only when α 2 and α 3 are directly juxtaposed (Fig. 4c, lanes 1–3). In agreement with our earlier data (Fig. 2a), analysis of non-reduced extracts of *pba*3 Δ cells expressing empty vector demonstrated a decrease in crosslinking between α 2 and α 3 upon oxidation with Cu²⁺ compared with WT cells expressing empty vector (Fig. 4c, lane 4 vs. lane 3). Upon overexpression of α 1 in *pba*3 Δ cells, we observed a 1.76-fold increase in crosslinking compared to *pba*3 Δ cells expressing empty vector (Fig. 4c, lane 5 vs. lane 4). This was consistent with the results of our initial screen (Fig. 2b) and the reduction in α 4 observed via native PAGE-immunoblotting and SILAC-coupled mass spectrometry (Fig. 3). Together, these data indicate that α 1 overproduction preferentially enhances canonical CP biogenesis in *pba*3 Δ cells.

We hypothesized that if $\alpha 1$ overproduction solely and specifically drives canonical CP formation, then it should have no effect in $\alpha 3\Delta$ cells, which cannot assemble canonical CPs due to the absence of $\alpha 3$. However, we unexpectedly found that overexpression of $\alpha 1$ suppressed both the temperature and *L*-canavanine sensitivity of $\alpha 3\Delta$ cells¹⁵ (Fig. 5a). Similarly, $\alpha 1$ overexpression suppressed the temperature and *L*-canavanine sensitivity of cells lacking the CP assembly chaperone Ump1³⁰ (Fig. 5b), and enhanced growth of WT cells on media containing the heavy metal salt CdCl₂ (Fig. 5c). This suggested that overproduction of $\alpha 1$ had a more general impact on proteasome levels. Indeed, when $\alpha 1$ was overexpressed, we observed a loss of free RP and a corresponding increase in 26S proteasomes (~20% and ~34% in WT and *pba3* Δ cells, respectively), consistent with enhanced CP assembly (Fig. 5d and Supplementary Fig. S4). Taken together with our compositional analyses (Figs 3 and 4), these data suggest that $\alpha 1$ overproduction acts as a general enhancer of CP biogenesis, but it preferentially promotes canonical CP biogenesis when either canonical or non-canonical CPs can be assembled.

The α 1 subunit is stoichiometrically limiting for α -ring assembly in yeast. We next sought to identify the mechanism(s) by which α 1 overexpression could enhance CP assembly. Recently, it was shown in human cells that incorporation of the α 2 subunit was completely dependent upon the prior incorporation of α 1³¹. We thus considered that α 1 incorporation could be rate-limiting for CP assembly. Upon completion of CP assembly, propeptides present on several CP β -subunits are removed³². This processing event is evident as a band-shift by SDS-PAGE, providing a convenient reporter for completion of CP assembly. We used a cycloheximide chase assay to monitor the rate of propeptide cleavage from β 5-3xFLAG (Supplementary Fig. S3) in *pba3* Δ cells expressing empty vector or overproducing α 1 (Fig. 6). Consistent with our observations that α 1 overexpression increases proteasome abundance, we saw a small but reproducible increase in the levels of mature, fully processed β 5 (Supplementary Fig. S5). However, no difference in the rate of propeptide cleavage was observed in cells overproducing α 1 (Fig. 6). Thus, α 1 incorporation is not a rate-limiting step in CP biogenesis.

We next examined the possibility that $\alpha 1$ may be quantitatively limiting for proteasome assembly. Although numerous groups have performed global measurements of proteasome subunit expression levels (discussed in Ho *et al.*³³), a clear consensus on the relative abundance of $\alpha 1$ in yeast has not emerged. We hypothesized that if $\alpha 1$ were limiting for α -ring assembly, then it would be the least abundant α -subunit overall and would have the smallest amount of free, unincorporated subunit. To allow direct comparison of α -subunit abundance, we generated a panel of yeast strains in which each α -subunit was expressed as a 3xFLAG fusion from the endogenous chromosomal locus. These strains exhibited no obvious compensatory upregulation of other proteasome



Figure 4. The stoichiometry of α 1 governs the ratio of canonical to non-canonical proteasomes in *pba3* Δ cells. (a) Extracts of WT and *pba3* Δ yeast overexpressing $\alpha 1$ or not and harboring the indicated cysteine substitutions were crosslinked as described in Materials and Methods, followed by separation by non-reducing SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with antibodies against His (α 4) and G6PD (loading control). Crosslinks between adjacent $\alpha 4$ subunits are indicated as $\alpha 4^{\alpha} 4$ and are quantified below (n = 6; error bars = s.e.m.; ns = not significant, p = 0.1754). **Nonspecific cross-reactive bands. Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8. (b) Equal numbers of cells from the indicated yeast strains expressing empty vector (EV) or $\alpha 1$ from a high-copy plasmid were spotted in six-fold serial dilutions on synthetic complete plates lacking or containing MTX and incubated for three days at 30 °C. (c) Extracts of WT and *pba3* Δ yeast overexpressing α 1 or not and harboring the indicated cysteine substitutions were crosslinked as described in Materials and Methods, followed by separation by non-reducing SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with antibodies against His (α 2) and G6PD (loading control). WT cells served as a positive control for crosslinking (lane 3), which was evident as a high-molecular weight species that could be near-fully ablated by treatment of the crosslinked extract with DTT prior to electrophoresis (lane 6). Crosslinks between adjacent α 2 and α 3 are indicated as $\alpha 2^{\alpha} \alpha 3$ and are quantified below (n = 6; error bars = s.e.m.). **Nonspecific cross-reactive bands. Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8.

- - - - -

subunits (Fig. 7a, Rpt1 blot), and displayed no obvious growth defects under standard growth conditions, at elevated temperatures, or in the presence of known proteasome stressors *L*-canavanine or CdCl₂ (Supplementary Fig. S6). This indicated that the 3xFLAG tags were well tolerated. We then separated whole cell extracts of each strain via SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig. 7a). Quantification of the resultant immunoblots revealed α 1 to have the lowest total protein levels amongst the α -subunits, followed closely by α 6. To assess the population of free subunit, we next separated extracts of the same strains via blue native PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-FLAG antibodies to visualize both free and incorporated α -subunits simultaneously (Fig. 7b). Quantification of the bands corresponding to the free subunits and 20S CP revealed that the majority of α 1 is incorporated into the CP and is the least abundant free α -subunit, again closely followed by



Figure 5. Overexpression of α 1 enhances resistance of WT and CP mutant yeast to proteotoxic stress. (**a**-**c**) Equal numbers of cells from the indicated yeast strains expressing empty vector (EV) or α 1 from a high-copy plasmid were spotted in six-fold serial dilutions on the indicated media and incubated as specified. (**d**) Cell extracts of WT or *pba3* Δ yeast expressing empty vector (EV) or α 1 from a high-copy plasmid were separated by non-denaturing PAGE before immunoblotting with antibodies against the RP base subunit Rpt1. The positions of doubly-capped CP (RP₂CP), singly-capped CP (RP₁CP), RP, and base subcomplex are shown. Quantification of Rpt1 levels in fully assembled proteasomes (RP₂CP) is shown to the right (*n* = 10; error bars = s.e.m.). Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8.





Figure 6. The rate of proteasome core particle maturation is unaffected by α 1 overexpression. Yeast expressing β 5-3xFLAG from the chromosomal locus in a *pba3* Δ background were transformed with empty vector (EV) or a high-copy plasmid encoding α 1. Log phase cells were treated with 250 µg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) to block new protein synthesis and harvested at the indicated times. Cell extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE before immunoblotting with antibodies against FLAG and G6PD (loading control). Bands corresponding to the precursor species (β 5_{pro}-3xFLAG) were normalized to the G6PD loading control. Quantification of the remaining propeptide as a percentage of propeptide present at time = 0 is shown to the right (*n* = 8; error bars = s.e.m.). Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8.

.....

 α 6. As a complimentary approach, the same cell extracts were fractionated by gel filtration chromatography, and peak fractions corresponding to free subunit or 26S were separated via SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig. 7c). Similar to our results obtained via blue native PAGE, quantification of the free subunits and 26S proteasomes revealed that α 1 was the least abundant free α -subunit. These data indicate that α 1 is the stoichiometrically limiting α -subunit. Taking all of our results together, we propose that α 1 protein levels limit proteasome abundance under normal growth conditions, and importantly, that elevated α 1 levels favor formation of canonical CPs over non-canonical CPs when Pba3-4 chaperone activity is limiting.



Figure 7. Proteasome subunit α 1 is stoichiometrically limiting for proteasome α -ring assembly. (a) Whole cell lysates of yeast strains expressing the indicated 3xFLAG-tagged α -subunits from their chromosomal loci were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies against FLAG, Rpt1, or G6PD (loading control). Quantification of α -subunit expression levels, normalized to the G6PD loading control, is shown to the right (n=3; error bars = s.e.m.). Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8. (b) Cell extracts prepared from yeast strains expressing 3xFLAG-tagged α -subunits from their chromosomal loci were separated via blue native PAGE and immunoblotted with antibodies against FLAG. Samples were prepared under conditions favoring dissociation of the RP from CP from the 26S proteasome to directly examine subunit abundance in the context of the CP only. Triangles denote migrations of purified CP (filled) and a 20 kDa standard (open). Quantification of the percentage of free α -subunit (shown to the right) was determined by dividing the band corresponding to free α -subunit by the sum of the free and assembled (CP band) subunit abundance for each lane (n = 4; error bars = s.e.m.). ^, Blm10-CP; **, CP assembly intermediate. Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8. (c) Cell extracts prepared from yeast strains expressing the indicated 3xFLAGtagged α -subunits from their chromosomal loci were fractionated by gel filtration chromatography. Peak fractions corresponding to free α -subunits and 26S proteasomes were separated via SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with antibodies against FLAG. The percentage of free α -subunit (shown to the right) was determined by dividing the band corresponding to free subunit (free) by the sum of the free and 26S band intensities for each lane. (n = 8; error bars = s.e.m.). Full-length blot is presented in Supplementary Fig. S8.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this work represents the first genome-wide analysis of genes influencing the subunit composition of the CP. Our use of a *pba3* Δ yeast strain allowed us to identify genetic determinants enhancing the ratio of canonical *versus* non-canonical CPs under conditions of limiting chaperone activity, similar to those occurring in response to some environmental stimuli. Our findings that elevated α 1 preferentially promotes canonical CP assembly implies that the basal α 1 expression level dictates how efficiently α 4- α 4 CPs form when chaperone activity is limiting. This is consistent with our findings that α 1 is stoichiometrically limiting for CP assembly in



Figure 8. Hypothetical model for preferential assembly of canonical CPs upon $\alpha 1$ overexpression. Under limiting Pba3-4 chaperone activity (bottom pathway), non-canonical CPs can form in addition to canonical CPs (top pathway). Enhanced expression of $\alpha 1$ favors efficient incorporation of $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ prior to insertion of $\alpha 3$ or a second copy of $\alpha 4$ and confers preference for $\alpha 3$.

yeast, and that overproduction of $\alpha 1$ enhances basal proteasome levels and resistance to stress. Importantly, this work shows that the subunit composition of a multisubunit complex can be influenced by how efficiently other subunits are incorporated at distal sites.

Assembly of the α -ring remains one of the most poorly understood aspects of proteasome biogenesis¹⁶. Recently, the order of α -subunit addition into the assembling α -ring was probed in human cells using systematic knockdown of each α -subunit with siRNA³¹. The authors demonstrated that a key step in α -ring assembly is the formation of a core assembly intermediate consisting of $\alpha 4-\alpha 5-\alpha 6-\alpha 7$. It was also shown that incorporation of $\alpha 2$ into the assembling α -ring was dependent upon the prior incorporation of $\alpha 1$. However, the order in which $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 3$ were incorporated could not be determined. Our findings that enhancing $\alpha 1$ levels in *pba3* Δ cells preferentially promotes canonical CP formation suggests that $\alpha 1$ likely incorporates into assembling canonical α -rings prior to $\alpha 3$ (or a second $\alpha 4$), at least under our tested conditions. Further, it suggests that incorporation of $\alpha 1$ prior to a second copy of $\alpha 4$ favors, or possibly commits, the incorporation of $\alpha 3$ into the assembling α -ring over $\alpha 4$. Given that $\alpha 4$ poorly discriminates between $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 4$ insertion at the $\alpha 3$ position during α -ring assembly¹³, we posit that $\alpha 1$ may preferentially promote canonical CP biogenesis by efficiently recruiting $\alpha 2$ to favor $\alpha 3$ incorporation (Fig. 8).

Overproduction of α 1, but not other subunits, enhanced proteasome abundance and conferred resistance to common proteasome stresses in cells that assemble both canonical and non-canonical proteasomes (Figs 2 and 5). However, our data suggest that α 1 is not rate-limiting for CP biogenesis (Fig. 6). Considering our findings that free α 1 is the least abundant of the seven α -subunits, this suggests that incorporation of α 1 is quantitatively limiting for CP biogenesis in yeast. Alternatively, α 1 incorporation may also be thermodynamically unfavorable, and increasing α 1 drives CP assembly by mass action. This is supported by the detection of some free subunit present in WT cells, and by our data demonstrating that α 1 overproduction appears to enhance proteasome activity in α 3 Δ cells, as gauged by suppression of temperature and *L*-canavanine sensitivity. Similarly, whether α 1 levels control basal proteasome levels in other species is not known.

There are multiple reports demonstrating the importance of subunit stoichiometry in proteasome assembly and cell health. In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the overexpression of the CP subunit β 5 has been reported to significantly increase proteasome assembly and extend the lifespan of flies³⁴. Similarly, increased expression of the RP subunit Rpn6 (PSMD11 in humans) has been demonstrated to enhance 26S proteasome formation and increase the longevity of human embryonic stem cells³⁵. Both of these data strongly mirror our results in yeast upon α 1 overexpression, where we observe a similar increase in proteasome assembly and enhanced growth under proteotoxic stress. Although neither β 5 nor Rpn6 were identified as enhancers of proteasome assembly in our screen in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, these data, together with our findings, highlight a role for the stoichiometry of specific proteasome subunits in governing the efficiency of proteasome assembly.

An implication of our work is that the restricted expression of $\alpha 1$ is necessary to prime cells for alternative proteasome assembly. It is important to note that no physiological or environmental stimuli have yet been identified that exploit $\alpha 1$ stoichiometry to alter proteasome composition or stress resistance, either in yeast or in other organisms. We intend to investigate this in follow-up studies. However, we have made some interesting observations regarding the relationship between $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 4$ levels in human cancers that support such a mechanism may exist. A previous analysis of publically available gene expression data revealed enhanced $\alpha 4$ expression and reduced $\alpha 3$ expression consistent with $\alpha 4$ - $\alpha 4$ CP formation in two testicular cancer subtypes¹⁴. Prompted by this observation, we investigated the relationship between $\alpha 1$ expression and enhanced $\alpha 4$ expression in these same

subtypes. We observed a significant decrease in α 1 expression concomitant with enhanced α 4 expression not only in these same subtypes, but in six additional datasets, comprising five testicular cancer subtypes (Supplementary Fig. S7). These data suggest that the stoichiometry of α 1 may be altered in certain cancer types, specifically in testicular cancers, to enhance the assembly of non-canonical proteasomes in these cells. Considering that this was observed specifically in testicular cancers, it will also be interesting to see whether α 1 levels influence the formation of α 4s-containing spermatoproteasomes.

We found that the split-DHFR reporter system originally developed by Pelletier *et al.*²⁵ can serve as a sensitive reporter of juxtaposition of subunits within a multisubunit complex. This basic approach could be readily adapted to search for genes regulating juxtaposition of other canonical or non-canonical subunit pairs. Several α -subunits undergo non-native interactions *in vitro* and *in vivo*^{36–40}, and the cellular mechanisms that control or limit these pairings are unknown. Finally, it is noteworthy that other multisubunit complexes, such as the chaperonin complex TriC/CCT^{41–43} and the prefoldin chaperone complex⁴⁴, undergo subunit substitutions to yield non-canonical complexes. This approach could be more broadly implemented to screen for genes, environmental stimuli, or small molecules that regulate alternative forms of these and other multisubunit complexes.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and media. Yeast manipulations were carried out according to standard protocols⁴⁵. Strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. For standard growth assays, the indicated strains were spotted onto the indicated media as six-fold serial dilutions prepared in water. For split-DHFR complementation growth assays, synthetic dropout plates lacking adenine were supplemented with 200 µM methotrexate hydrate (Tokyo Chemical Industry, Cat# 59-05-2) and 5 mg/mL sulfanilamide (Alfa Aesar, Cat# 63-74-1).

Plasmids. All plasmids were constructed using standard molecular cloning techniques using TOP10F' (Life Technologies) as a host strain. Plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2. Complete sequences and construction details are available upon request.

Genomic tiling library screen. The Yeast Genomic Tiling Collection (Dharmacon, Cat# YSC4613) is comprised of 1,588 bacterial isolates each harboring a 2-micron plasmid containing a particular yeast genomic fragment, arrayed in 96-well plates²⁹. To simplify the screening process, these isolates were grown on LB-kanamycin medium in a 96-well style array and subsequently scraped from the media and mixed prior to plasmid DNA isolation. This yielded 17 plasmid mixtures, which were then used to transform the $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR] pba3 Δ reporter strain (RTY1304). Ninety percent of the cells were plated on media lacking leucine and containing 150 µM methotrexate and 5 mg/mL sulfanilamide to identify plasmids promoting DHFR complementation, and ten percent were plated on complete medium lacking leucine to estimate the fold library coverage. The genomic fragments contained within recovered plasmids were identified by DNA sequencing. Complete ORFs within the encoded genomic fragments were subcloned with 5' and 3' regulatory sequences into individual 2-micron plasmids and re-transformed into the $\alpha 2-\alpha 3$ [DHFR] pba3 Δ reporter strain to identify the gene products.

SDS-PAGE analysis. Cell extracts were prepared from equal numbers of cells via the alkaline lysis method⁴⁶ and cleared via centrifugation at $21,000 \times g$ for 30 seconds. Equal sample volumes were loaded onto 12% denaturing Tris-glycine SDS-PAGE gels and separated at 200 V at room temperature. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (EMD Millipore) at 100 V for one hour at 4 °C before immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

Antibodies and immunoblotting. Immunoblotting was performed with antibodies against FLAG (Sigma Aldrich, Cat# F3165, 1:5,000), G6PD (Sigma Aldrich, Cat# A9521, 1:20,000), TetraHis (Qiagen, Cat# 34670, 1:2,000), 20S CP subunits (Enzo Life Sciences, Cat# PW9355, 1:2,000), Rpt5 (Enzo Life Sciences, Cat# PW8245, 1:10,000), C-Terminal DHFR (Sigma Aldrich, Cat# D0942, 1:1,000), Rpn12⁴⁷ (1:10,000), Rpt1 (generated from hybridomas described in Geng *et al.*⁴⁸, 1:5,000), and α 4/Pre6 (Gift from Dieter Wolf⁴⁹, 1:5,000). Blots were imaged on a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP using HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare) and ECL reagent.

Native PAGE analysis. Cell extracts were prepared and separated by native PAGE essentially as described previously²⁷. Mid- to late-log phase cells ($OD_{600} = 1.5-2.0$) grown in YPD or the appropriate synthetic dropout medium were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 × g for five minutes at 4 °C, followed by washing with ice-cold distilled H₂O. Cell pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground into powder using a mortar and pestle. The resulting cell powder was thawed in an equal volume of Extraction Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol) supplemented with 1 mM ATP, aprotinin, leupeptin, pepstatin A, and PMSF and incubated with frequent vortexing for 10 minutes on ice. Extracts were centrifuged at 21,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4 °C to remove cell debris. Equal amounts of protein (as determined by BCA assay) were loaded onto 4% non-denaturing gels and separated at 100 V, 4 °C. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes at 100 V for one hour at 4 °C before immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

Blue native PAGE analysis. Blue native PAGE was performed essentially as described previously⁵⁰ with some modifications. Cell extracts were prepared with BN Extraction Buffer (50 mM Tris·HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol) supplemented with aprotinin, leupeptin, pepstatin A, and PMSF as described for native PAGE. Equal amounts of protein (determined by BCA assay) were loaded onto 4–16% gradient tricine gels lacking aminohexanoic acid and separated at 100 V, 4 °C using Cathode Buffer B (50 mM tricine, 7.5 mM imidazole, 0.02% Coomassie blue G-250; pH = 7.0) and Anode Buffer (25 mM imidazole; pH = 7.0). Once samples entered the stacking gel, the voltage was increased to 180 V. When the dye front migrated through ~1/3 of the resolving gel, Cathode Buffer B was exchanged for Cathode Buffer B/10 (50 mM tricine, 7.5 mM imidazole, 0.002%

Coomassie blue G-250; pH = 7.0). After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes at 30 V overnight at 4 °C. The membranes were incubated in 100% methanol post-transfer to remove the majority of the Coomassie blue G-250 before immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. The percentage of each free α -subunit was determined by dividing the band corresponding to the free subunit by the sum of the free subunit and band corresponding to the CP.

Gel filtration chromatography. Whole-cell extracts were fractionated essentially as described previously⁵¹ with some modifications. Mid- to late log phase cells ($OD_{600} = 1.5-2.0$) grown in YPD were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 \times g for five minutes at 4 °C, followed by washing with ice-cold distilled H₂O. Cell pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground into powder using a mortar and pestle. The resulting cell powder was thawed in an equal volume of Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol, 1 mM ATP) and incubated with frequent vortexing for 10 minutes on ice. Extracts were centrifuged at $21,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4 °C to remove cell debris. Protein concentration was determined by BCA assay, and 2 mg of protein was fractionated at 4 °C on a Superose 6 10-30 column equilibrated in Buffer A supplemented with 1 mM ATP using an ÄKTA FPLC system (GE Healthcare). The resulting fractions were concentrated via acetone precipitation by addition of $6\,\mu$ L of BSA (10 mg/ml) and $6\,\mu$ L of 2% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate to 200 μ L of each fraction. After incubation for 15 minutes at 4 °C, 1 mL of -20 °C acetone was added, mixed, and incubated at 4 °C for 2 hours. The precipitate was dried and dissolved in $40 \,\mu\text{L}$ of SDS sample buffer, and $10 \,\mu\text{L}$ were loaded onto 12% denaturing gels and separated at 200 V at room temperature. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes at 100 V for one hour at 4 °C before immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. The percentage of free α -subunit was determined by dividing the band corresponding to free subunit by the sum of the free subunit and subunit present in the 26S fraction for each lane.

Disulfide crosslinking of α **-subunits.** Crosslinking of α -subunits was performed essentially as previously described^{13-15,47,52} with some modifications. Briefly, 20 OD₆₀₀ equivalents of mid-log phase yeast cells expressing α -subunits with the desired cysteine substitutions were converted to spheroplasts with Zymolyase 20 T. Spheroplasts were then lysed in 0.15 mL of ice-cold Crosslinking Lysis Buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM ATP). Lysis was achieved by vortexing three times at top speed for 30 second intervals with one minute incubations on ice in between. Cell debris was removed via centrifugation at $21,000 \times g$ at 4 °C for 10 minutes, and 50 µL of supernatant was removed and added to 2.5 µL of 200 mM N-ethylmaleimide (final concentration of 10 mM) and 5.25 µL of 100 mM EDTA (final concentration of 10 mM). Disulfide crosslinking of 50 μL of the remaining extract was induced with 1.25 μL of 10 mM CuCl_2 (final concentration of 250 μM) at 25 °C for 10 minutes, after which N-ethylmaleimide and EDTA were added as above. Samples were prepared with non-reducing sample buffer, loaded onto 10% denaturing Tris-glycine SDS-PAGE gels, and separated at 200 V at room temperature. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes at 100 V for one hour at 4 °C before immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. To reduce disulfide bonds, 1 µL of 1 M DTT (final concentration of 17 mM) was added to crosslinked samples for 10 minutes at room temperature prior to electrophoresis. The percentage of crosslinking was determined by dividing the band density corresponding to the crosslinked subunit by the sum of the densities of the crosslinked and uncrosslinked subunit for each lane.

Kinetics of β **5 propeptide cleavage.** Yeast were grown to mid-log phase, at which time 14 OD₆₀₀ equivalents were harvested by centrifugation at 4,122 × *g* for 5 minutes and resuspended in 7 mL of selective minimal medium. Cells were incubated at 30 °C for five minutes before adding 87.5 µL of 20 mg/mL CHX (final concentration of 250 µg/mL). Immediately after addition of CHX, 1.0 mL (2 OD₆₀₀ equivalents) of culture was removed, added to 50 µL of ice-cold 200 mM sodium azide, and vortexed thoroughly to generate the zero time point sample. This procedure was repeated at the indicated time points following addition of CHX. At the conclusion of the chase, samples were centrifuged for 30 seconds at 10,000 × *g* to pellet the cells. Equal sample volumes were loaded onto 12% denaturing Tris-glycine SDS-PAGE gels and separated at 200 V. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes at 100 V for one hour at 4 °C before immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

Mass spectrometry. Yeast expressing β 5-3xFLAG from the chromosomal locus in a *pba3* Δ background (RTY2263) were transformed with empty vector or a high-copy plasmid encoding α 1. Cells were cultured (>30 cell doublings) in synthetic dropout medium supplemented with 30 mg/L light lysine (L-lysine monohydrochloride, Acros Organics, 657-27-2) or heavy lysine (L-Lysine-¹³C₆, ¹⁵N₂ dihydrochloride, Millipore Sigma, 608041) and harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 \times g for five minutes at 4 °C, followed by washing with ice-cold distilled H₂O. Cell pellets were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground into powder using a mortar and pestle. The resulting cell powder was thawed in an equal volume of high-salt 20S Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 500 mM NaCl) and incubated with frequent vortexing for 10 minutes on ice. Extracts were centrifuged at $21,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at 4 °C to pellet insoluble material. The protein concentration of the supernatant was determined by BCA assay and equal protein amounts of light and heavy labeled supernatants were combined in a 1:1 ratio. The mixed sample was added to anti-FLAG M2 Affinity Gel (Sigma Aldrich, Cat# A2220) and incubated for 60 minutes at 4 °C. The resin was collected at $1,500 \times g$ for two minutes at 4 °C, and the supernatant was decanted. The resin was then washed two times with high-salt 20S Buffer, followed by an additional wash in low-salt 20S Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 150 mM NaCl). Complexes were eluted from the resin with 200 µg/mL 3xFLAG peptide for 45 minutes at 4 °C. The eluted complexes were then concentrated in a 100,000 kDa MWCO filter (Sartorius, Cat# VS0141). The concentrated eluate was loaded onto a 4% non-denaturing gel and separated at 100 V, 4 °C, followed by gel staining with GelCode Blue (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 24590). Bands corresponding to 20S proteasomes were excised from gels and submitted to the FSU-COM Translational Science Laboratory for in-gel trypsinization and analysis by LC-MS/MS using a Q Exactive HF Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Raw data was analyzed using MaxQuant and searched against the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database. The mean ratio of the CP subunits was calculated from the intensities of heavy *versus* light peptides. The intensity ratio of α 4 was determined to be statistically different from the mean of the other CP subunits using an outlier test in GraphPad Prism.

Microscopy. Cells were collected via centrifugation at $10,000 \times g$ for 30 seconds, resuspended in YPD at 1/10th of the original culture volume, and applied to microscope slides overlaid with a thin pad of 3% agarose prepared in YPD to minimize cell movement during imaging. Slides were imaged using the EVOS FL Cell Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a GFP filter set. Identical exposure times and light intensities were used for each sample.

Quantification and statistical analysis. ImageLab (BioRad) was used for the quantification of band intensities. Statistical analysis was carried out using Graph Pad Prism 7.0 software via two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test for multiple comparisons (Figs 3a and 5d), two-tailed t-test (Fig. 4a,c), or outlier test (Fig. 3b).

Data Availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files).

References

- 1. Finley, D. Recognition and processing of ubiquitin-protein conjugates by the proteasome. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **78**, 477–513 (2009).
- Tomko, R. J. Jr. & Hochstrasser, M. Molecular architecture and assembly of the eukaryotic proteasome. Annual Review of Biochemistry 82, 415–445 (2013).
- Gaczynska, M., Rock, K. L. & Goldberg, A. L. Gamma-interferon and expression of MHC genes regulate peptide hydrolysis by proteasomes. *Nature* 365, 264–267 (1993).
- 4. Kloetzel, P. M. Generation of major histocompatibility complex class I antigens: functional interplay between proteasomes and TPPII. *Nature Immunology* 5, 661–669 (2004).
- 5. Murata, S. et al. Regulation of CD8+ T cell development by thymus-specific proteasomes. Science 316, 1349–1353 (2007).
- Tomaru, U. et al. Restricted Expression of the Thymoproteasome Is Required for Thymic Selection and Peripheral Homeostasis of CD8(+) T Cells. Cell Reports 26, 639–651.e632 (2019).
- Murata, S., Takahama, Y., Kasahara, M. & Tanaka, K. The immunoproteasome and thymoproteasome: functions, evolution and human disease. *Nature Immunology* 19, 923–931 (2018).
- Uechi, H., Hamazaki, J. & Murata, S. Characterization of the testis-specific proteasome subunit alpha4s in mammals. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289, 12365–12374 (2014).
- 9. Belote, J. M. & Zhong, L. Duplicated proteasome subunit genes in Drosophila and their roles in spermatogenesis. *Heredity* **103**, 23–31 (2009).
- Qian, M. X. *et al.* Acetylation-mediated proteasomal degradation of core histones during DNA repair and spermatogenesis. *Cell* 153, 1012–1024 (2013).
- 11. Gómez-H, L. et al. Spermatoproteasome-deficient mice are proficient in meiotic DNA repair but defective in meiotic exit. bioRxiv, 384354 (2018).
- 12. Emori, Y. et al. Molecular cloning and functional analysis of three subunits of yeast proteasome. Molecular and Cellular Biology 11, 344–353 (1991).
- Velichutina, I., Connerly, P. L., Arendt, C. S., Li, X. & Hochstrasser, M. Plasticity in eucaryotic 20S proteasome ring assembly revealed by a subunit deletion in yeast. *The EMBO Journal* 23, 500–510 (2004).
- Padmanabhan, A., Vuong, S. A. & Hochstrasser, M. Assembly of an Evolutionarily Conserved Alternative Proteasome Isoform in Human Cells. Cell Reports 14, 2962–2974 (2016).
- Kusmierczyk, A. R., Kunjappu, M. J., Funakoshi, M. & Hochstrasser, M. A multimeric assembly factor controls the formation of alternative 20S proteasomes. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 15, 237 (2008).
- Howell, L. A., Tomko, R. J. & Kusmierczyk, A. R. Putting it all together: intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms governing proteasome biogenesis. *Frontiers in Biology* 12, 19–48 (2017).
- Hirano, Y. et al. Cooperation of Multiple Chaperones Required for the Assembly of Mammalian 20S Proteasomes. Molecular Cell 24, 977–984 (2006).
- Le Tallec, B. et al. 20S proteasome assembly is orchestrated by two distinct pairs of chaperones in yeast and in mammals. Molecular Cell 27, 660–674 (2007).
- Yashiroda, H. et al. Crystal structure of a chaperone complex that contributes to the assembly of yeast 20S proteasomes. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 15, 228–236 (2008).
- Chen, L. & Madura, K. Increased proteasome activity, ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes, and eEF1A translation factor detected in breast cancer tissue. *Cancer Research* 65, 5599–5606 (2005).
- Arlt, A. et al. Increased proteasome subunit protein expression and proteasome activity in colon cancer relate to an enhanced activation of nuclear factor E2-related factor 2 (Nrf2). Oncogene 28, 3983–3996 (2009).
- 22. Walerych, D. *et al.* Proteasome machinery is instrumental in a common gain-of-function program of the p53 missense mutants in cancer. *Nature Cell Biology* **18**, 897–909 (2016).
- 23. Liou, G.-Y. & Storz, P. Reactive oxygen species in cancer. Free Radical Research 44, 479-496 (2010).
- 24. Remy, I. & Michnick, S. W. Clonal selection and *in vivo* quantitation of protein interactions with protein-fragment complementation assays. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **96**, 5394–5399 (1999).
- Pelletier, J. N., Campbell-Valois, F. X. & Michnick, S. W. Oligomerization domain-directed reassembly of active dihydrofolate reductase from rationally designed fragments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 95, 12141–12146 (1998).
- Tarassov, K. *et al.* An *in vivo* map of the yeast protein interactome. *Science* 320, 1465–1470 (2008).
 Nemec, A. A., Howell, L. A., Peterson, A. K., Murray, M. A. & Tomko, R. J. Jr. Autophagic clearance of proteasomes in yeast requires the conserved sorting nexin Snx4. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 292, 21466–21480 (2017).
- Boeke, J. D., Trueheart, J., Natsoulis, G. & Fink, G. R. 5-Fluoroorotic acid as a selective agent in yeast molecular genetics. *Methods in Enzymology* 154, 164–175 (1987).
- Jones, G. M. et al. A systematic library for comprehensive overexpression screens in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nature Methods 5, 239 (2008).
- Ramos, P. C., Hockendorff, J., Johnson, E. S., Varshavsky, A. & Dohmen, R. J. Ump1p is required for proper maturation of the 20S
 proteasome and becomes its substrate upon completion of the assembly. *Cell* 92, 489–499 (1998).

- Wu, W. et al. PAC1-PAC2 proteasome assembly chaperone retains the core alpha4-alpha7 assembly intermediates in the cytoplasm. Genes to Cells 23, 839–848 (2018).
- Chen, P. & Hochstrasser, M. Autocatalytic subunit processing couples active site formation in the 20S proteasome to completion of assembly. Cell 86, 961–972 (1996).
- Ho, B., Baryshnikova, A. & Brown, G. W. Unification of Protein Abundance Datasets Yields a Quantitative Saccharomyces cerevisiae Proteome. Cell Systems 6, 192–205.e193 (2018).
- Nguyen, N. N. et al. Proteasome beta5 subunit overexpression improves proteostasis during aging and extends lifespan in Drosophila melanogaster. Scientific Reports 9, 3170 (2019).
- 35. Vilchez, D. *et al.* Increased proteasome activity in human embryonic stem cells is regulated by PSMD11. *Nature* **489**, 304–308 (2012).
- 36. Gerards, W. L. *et al.* The human alpha-type proteasomal subunit HsC8 forms a double ringlike structure, but does not assemble into proteasome-like particles with the beta-type subunits HsDelta or HsBPROS26. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 272, 10080–10086 (1997).
- Gerards, W. L., de Jong, W. W., Bloemendal, H. & Boelens, W. The human proteasomal subunit HsC8 induces ring formation of other alpha-type subunits. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 275, 113–121 (1998).
- Apcher, G. S., Maitland, J., Dawson, S., Sheppard, P. & Mayer, R. J. The alpha4 and alpha7 subunits and assembly of the 20S proteasome. FEBS Letters 569, 211-216 (2004).
- Hammack, L. J. & Kusmierczyk, A. R. Assembly of proteasome subunits into non-canonical complexes in vivo. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 482, 164–169 (2017).
- 40. Ishii, K. *et al.* Disassembly of the self-assembled, double-ring structure of proteasome α7 homo-tetradecamer by α6. *Scientific Reports* **5**, 18167 (2015).
- Kubota, H., Hynes, G. M., Kerr, S. M. & Willison, K. R. Tissue-specific subunit of the mouse cytosolic chaperonin-containing TCP-1. FEBS Letters 402, 53–56 (1997).
- Roobol, A., Holmes, F. E., Hayes, N. V., Baines, A. J. & Carden, M. J. Cytoplasmic chaperonin complexes enter neurites developing in vitro and differ in subunit composition within single cells. *Journal of Cell Science* 108, 1477–1488 (1995).
- Sergeeva, O. A. et al. Human CCT4 and CCT5 chaperonin subunits expressed in Escherichia coli form biologically active homooligomers. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 288, 17734–17744 (2013).
- 44. Gstaiger, M. *et al.* Control of Nutrient-Sensitive Transcription Programs by the Unconventional Prefoldin URI. *Science* **302**, 1208 (2003).
- 45. Guthrie, C. & Fink, G. R. Guide to Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology. (Academic Press, 1991).
- 46. Kushnirov, V. V. Rapid and reliable protein extraction from yeast. Yeast 16, 857-860 (2000).
- Eisele, M. R. et al. Expanded Coverage of the 26S Proteasome Conformational Landscape Reveals Mechanisms of Peptidase Gating. Cell Reports 24, 1301–1315.e1305 (2018).
- Geng, F. & Tansey, W. P. Similar temporal and spatial recruitment of native 19S and 20S proteasome subunits to transcriptionally active chromatin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 6060 (2012).
- Jager, S., Strayle, J., Heinemeyer, W. & Wolf, D. H. Cic1, an adaptor protein specifically linking the 26S proteasome to its substrate, the SCF component Cdc4. *The EMBO Journal* 20, 4423–4431 (2001).
- 50. Wittig, I., Braun, H.-P. & Schägger, H. Blue native PAGE. Nature Protocols 1, 418-428 (2006).
- Tomko, R. J. Jr. & Hochstrasser, M. Incorporation of the Rpn12 subunit couples completion of proteasome regulatory particle lid assembly to lid-base joining. *Molecular Cell* 44, 907–917 (2011).
- Reed, R. G. & Tomko, R. J. Jr. Engineered disulfide crosslinking to measure conformational changes in the 26S proteasome. *Methods in Enzymology* 619, 145–159 (2019).

Acknowledgements

We thank Mark Hochstrasser and Andrew Kusmierczyk for reagents, Dieter Wolf for the α 4 antibody, Stephen Michnick for the DHFR-tagging plasmids, and the Florida State University yeast community for helpful comments and feedback. This work was supported by start-up funds from the Florida State University College of Medicine, a grant from the Florida State University Council for Research and Creativity, and National Institutes of Health Grant 1R01GM118600 to R. J. T., Jr. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Author Contributions

L.A.H. and R.J.T., Jr. conceived and designed the study. L.A.H. contributed reagents and performed experiments for all figures. A.K.P. contributed reagents and performed experimental replicates for Fig. 1d–h, Fig. 2a–c, and Supplementary Fig. S1c–e. R.J.T., Jr. performed the modeling shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 and helped perform the experiment in Fig. 7c. L.A.H. wrote the first draft of the manuscript, which was edited by all authors.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48889-5.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019